Application No. Applicant(s) 09/814,066 KNAUSEDER, FRANZ Interview Summary Examiner **Art Unit** M. Safavi 3673 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) M. Safavi. (2) John Preta. Date of Interview: 22 April 2005. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c)⊠ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)⊠ No. If Yes, brief description: . Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 31. Identification of prior art discussed: German reference 29703962. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Preta had indicated that Applicant would amend claims 1 and 31 to add that the adhesive is applied off-site. Examiner had stated that such amendment would not appear to add anything over the already recited language to "pre-applied" and appears directed to a method step defining a process under 35 USC 101. . (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. MICHAEL SAFAVI PRIMARY EXAMINER **ART UNIT 354**

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required